Before FEDERAL COMMUNICA Washington,	e the ATIC D.C.	ONS COMMISSION VED 20554 FEDERAL GUMANUMICATIONS OFFICE OF CONTINUES
In the Matter of)	OFFICE OF SECRETARY COMMISSION
Interconnection Between Local Exchange Carriers and Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers)))	CC Docket No. 95-185
		DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Reply Comments of CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS OF PUERTO RICO, INC.

Jay L. Birnbaum
David H. Pawlik
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom
1440 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 371-7000

Its attorneys

Dated: March 25, 1996

No. of Operac recial Definition in the Mark and the Second Second

Table of Contents

able of Contents
ummary iii
General Comments
. Compensation of Interconnected Traffic between LEC and CMRS Networks
A. Compensation Arrangements
General Pricing Principles
B. Implementation of Compensation Arrangements
Jurisdictional Issues
onclusion
ertificate of Service

Summary

Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico, Inc. ("CCPR") is a nonwireline cellular carrier in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. CCPR and other commercial mobile radio service ("CMRS") providers have experienced high interconnection charges and a complete disregard for the Commission's policies of mutual compensation and good faith negotiations on the part of local exchange carriers ("LECs"). Accordingly, the Commission should complete this rulemaking despite the allegations made by the Puerto Rico Telephone Company ("PRTC") and other LECs that regulations are unnecessary or prohibited by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "1996 Act").

CCPR has proposed that, at least in Puerto Rico, where PRTC, the LEC with which CCPR must interconnect, is an affiliate of the State government, bill and keep principles be applied to <u>all</u> facilities used in the termination of calls. Several parties have advocated this same total-system bill and keep arrangement for a variety of sound reasons. Accordingly, the Commission should adopt regulations requiring total-system bill and keep in all CMRS-LEC interconnection situations. Furthermore, the Commission has the authority to establish specific federal requirements for interstate and intrastate LEC-CMRS interconnection arrangements.

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Interconnection Between Local Exchange)	CC Docket No. 95-185
Carriers and Commercial Mobile Radio)	
Service Providers)	

To: The Commission

Reply Comments of CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS OF PUERTO RICO, INC.

I. General Comments

Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico, Inc. ("CCPR") hereby submits these additional comments in reply to the comments of other parties filed in the above-captioned Docket. CCPR, through its affiliates, is the nonwireline cellular licensee in 11 of the 12 MSAs and RSAs in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and throughout the United States Virgin Islands.

CCPR urges the Commission to continue this proceeding and issue specific requirements for the interconnection of local exchange telephone carriers ("LECs") with commercial mobile radio service ("CMRS") providers. Some parties have argued that the Commission should suspend or delay this rulemaking in favor of a later-scheduled proceeding dealing with all types of interconnection to the public switched telephone network at the same time. This advice, coming from LECs

-

Comments of the Puerto Rico Telephone Company ("PRTC") at 2; Bell Atlantic Comments at 14.

who profit from each day that the current regulatory scheme continues, can best be seen as a delaying tactic.² From the standpoint of incumbent monopolist LECs the existing structure of "take it or leave it" contracts and excessive rates is working well.³ However, CCPR and other carriers are experiencing difficulties that amount to confiscatory interconnection charges and a complete disregard for the Commission's policies of mutual compensation and interconnection agreements arising from good faith negotiations.⁴

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "1996 Act") had a significant impact on the Commission's LEC-CMRS interconnection rulemaking.⁵ In no way, however, did it obviate the need for an order in this proceeding or alleviate the urgency with which new rules are required. Sections 251 and 252 of the 1996 Act make it perfectly clear that the Commission's rules must provide for mutual compensation between interconnecting CMRS carriers and LECs,⁶ that "bill and

For example, NYNEX states that it earns approximately \$131,500 per day from interconnection charges paid by CMRS providers. NYNEX Comments at 19.

See Comments of the United States Telephone Association ("USTA") at 4-8; Bell Atlantic Comments at 9; U.S. West, Inc. Comments at 6.

⁴ CCPR Comments at 4-7; Comments of Western Wireless Corporation at 8-11; Comments of Centennial Cellular Corp., pp. 21 et.seq.

See CCPR Comments at 19-20.

^{6 47} U.S.C. §§ 251(b)(5) and (d)(1).

keep" arrangements are permissible,⁷ and that interconnection should be the subject of good faith negotiations between the parties with approval by and filing with the State regulatory agency.⁸ Furthermore, the Commission must exert its authority to preempt the State agency when it does not fulfill its role in resolving disputes arising from such contract negotiations.⁹ Nonetheless, the 1996 Act leaves considerable discretion to the Commission to craft precise rules that will enable the fair implementation of the interconnection system envisioned by the 1996 Act.

The 1996 Act assigned a monumental task to the Commission. In a very short time it must issue numerous orders required by Congress. Accordingly, it would be an unfortunate and uneconomic waste of limited Commission resources to disregard all the time and effort that has been poured into this docket by the Commission staff and interested parties alike. The Commission has provided a Notice of Proposed Rule Making that clearly set forth the major issues and provided ample options for deliberation. Interested parties have used the opportunity to file voluminous comments enriching the record with details of life

⁷ 47 U.S.C. § 252(d)(2)(B)(i).

⁸ 47 U.S.C. §§ 251(c)(1), 252(e), and 252(h).

⁹ 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(5).

in the interconnection trenches as well as debating the finer points of the law.

Now these issues are ripe for decision.¹⁰

Because the rules that will be adopted must be consistent with the 1996

Act and will address many of the same issues involved in the rulemaking

addressing general interconnection requirements that Congress required in the 1996

Act, the latter proceeding should be that much easier a task. To the extent that

rules adopted in this proceeding are "technology neutral," they may be moved

intact into subsequent rulemakings. However, because CMRS has a history of

interconnection problems that cry out for corrective action and because wireless

and wired technologies are seldom perfect analogues of each other, a second

rulemaking will not constitute a waste of resources, whereas suspension or

termination of the instant proceeding certainly would.

See Comments of the General Services Administration at 7.

- II. Compensation for Interconnected Traffic between LECs and CMRS Providers' Networks
 - A. Compensation Arrangements

 General Pricing Principles

In its comments CCPR provided details of its original interconnection agreement with the Puerto Rico Telephone Company ("PRTC"), the LEC with which it must interconnect in Puerto Rico and an entity of the government of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. Comments filed by a PCS licensee in the Puerto Rico MTA indicate that PRTC is, at least, nondiscriminatory in its abuse and treats all nonaffiliated CMRS carriers alike by abusing its control over bottleneck facilities with equal disdain for the Commission's policies in all similar situations.¹¹

CCPR has proposed that, at least in Puerto Rico, where there can be no effective State regulation of interconnection agreements because of the affiliation of the LEC with the State government, bill and keep principles be applied to <u>all</u> facilities used in the termination of calls, including tandem switching and transport

See "The Puerto Rico Case Study" attached to Comments of Centennial Cellular Corp.

between the tandem and end offices.¹² Otherwise, the LEC would be able to shift costs into the elements not covered under bill and keep and preserve the CMRS subsidy of LEC inefficiencies.¹³ Some LECs have admitted in their comments that interconnection fees charged to CMRS carriers subsidize other LEC operations.¹⁴ If these LECs are recovering more than the actual costs of interconnection with their charges, it is painfully obvious that PRTC's interconnection charges, amounting to six cents (\$0.06) per minute, constitute a massive subsidy of the landline system by wireline subscribers.

Several parties have advocated the same total-system bill and keep policy for other reasons. Total-system bill and keep is administratively simple. LEC and CMRS services and facilities are essentially similar and potentially

¹² CCPR Comments at 15.

CCPR Comments at 16. See also Comments of AT&T Corp., the other existing broadband PCS licensee in the Puerto Rico MTA, at 13 (prices for the elements excluded from bill and keep would be set at many times above actual cost) and Century Cellunet, Inc. Comments at 5 (LECs would shift charges from local switching and call termination to reimbursable categories).

Taylor, "Affidavit Concerning Interconnection Between Local Exchange Carriers and Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers," attachment to NYNEX Comments, at 12. *See also* GTE Comments at 18-19 (indicating that GTE's flat-rate landline service is subsidized in part by fees from CMRS interconnection).

¹⁵ AT&T Comments at 14.

competitive.¹⁶ Partial-system bill and keep is economically inefficient.¹⁷ Accordingly, for these several reasons, the Commission should adopt the total-system bill and keep proposal advocated by CCPR and these other parties.

AT&T Comments at 14.; MCI Telecommunications Corporation Comments at 5; Personal Communications Industry Association ("PCIA") Comments at 8; Sprint Corporation Comments at 11.

CTIA Comments at 44; Cox Enterprises, Inc. Comments at 35; Joint Comments of Sprint and American Personal Communications at 29; Sprint Corporation Comments at 10. See also Comcast Corporation Comments at 22-24 (finding that the 1996 Act supports total-system bill and keep).

- II. Compensation for Interconnected Traffic between LECs and CMRS Providers' Networks
 - B. Implementation of Compensation Arrangements
 Jurisdictional Issues

PRTC has argued that the Commission does not have the authority to establish rates for CMRS-LEC interconnection because it claims that such power is reserved expressly for the States. However, the 1996 Act directs the Commission to establish regulations to govern interconnection. In adopting bill and keep the Commission would be adopting regulations requiring a form of mutual compensation specifically approved by Congress in the 1996 Act. Purthermore, the provisions of the 1996 Act that PRTC cites to support its position specifically do not preclude bill and keep arrangements. In any event, where Congress intended to override an ongoing Commission rulemaking

PRTC Comments at 11.

¹⁹ 47 U.S.C. § 251(d)(1).

²⁰ 47 U.S.C. § 252(d)(2)(B)(i).

²¹ *Id*.

proceeding or prohibit the Commission and State commissions from adopting certain rules, it did so very specifically.²²

Other LECs have argued that Section 252(d)(2)(B)(i) permits bill and keep only in the context of negotiated interconnection agreements.²³ Another provision of the 1996 Act, however, Section 252(a)(1), gives parties the ability to reach agreements without regard to the requirements contained in Section 251(b)(5). Thus, the LECs' suggested interpretation would render the provision in Section 252(d)(2)(B)(i) unnecessary. Section 252(d)(2)(B)(i), therefore, recognizes that, to the extent that the Commission determines bill and keep arrangements represent an appropriate form of reciprocal compensation and represent a reasonable approximation of the actual costs of interconnection, the Commission has the authority to require bill and keep.

The 1996 Act requires that State commissions, in approving negotiated interconnection contracts, consult the requirements of Section 251 and the

See, e.g., Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, §§ 302(b) (terminating the Commission's video dialtone regulations and policies), 704 (precluding the Commission from preempting state and local zoning authority for telecommunications facilities except with regard to RF emissions and directing the Commission to complete its rulemaking in the RF emissions docket within 180 days), and 705 (access to long distance carriers for CMRS subscribers).

Bell Atlantic Comments at 6; GTE Comments at 36; Pacific Bell Comments at 94.

regulations prescribed by the Commission pursuant to Section 251.²⁴ PRTC and other entrenched monopolists prefer that the Commission promulgate only a federal interconnection "policy framework."²⁵ As CCPR and others have shown, PRTC and other LECs have largely ignored Commission "policy" in the past; nothing would indicate that new policy statements would be any more effective. Accordingly, it is important that the Commission establish specific federal requirements for interstate and intrastate LEC-CMRS interconnection arrangements.

PRTC requests that the Commission leave "ample room" for consistent state regulation of LEC-CMRS interconnection.²⁶ In Puerto Rico, where the LEC is an affiliate of the State, "ample room" means that if there is any uncertainty whatsoever about the applicability of a Commission rule to a situation, the LEC will find within that uncertainty a license to do as it pleases without regard to oft-stated federal policies.²⁷

PRTC's comments represent the unique perspective of an entity that is both a monopolist LEC and its own regulator. A revealing and significant contrast is

⁴⁷ U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(B).

PRTC Comments at 7; see also USTA Comments at 15-16.

PRTC Comments at 4.

See CCPR Comments at 4-7.

available in the comments of the General Services Administration ("GSA"), representing the perspective of both a government agency and a consumer. According to GSA, the 1996 Act directs the Commission to promulgate rules that implement carriers' obligations under Section 251 without distinction between interstate or intrastate services.²⁸ GSA further considers it inconsistent with the spirit of the 1996 Act for different rates and policies to apply to interstate and intrastate calls.²⁹ Thus, the Commission should adopt regulations that are necessary to enable fair and efficient LEC-CMRS interconnection without undue attention to parties that have profited in the absence of clear and enforceable rules.

²⁸ GSA Comments at 11.

GSA Comments at 16.

Conclusion

Based upon the record before it, the Commission should adopt specific federal requirements for bill and keep interstate and intrastate LEC-CMRS interconnection arrangements. Such requirements should include all elements of both CMRS and LEC networks necessary to terminate calls originating on the other's facilities.

Respectfully submitted,

CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS OF PUERTO RICO, INC.

Jay L. Birnbaum David H. Pawlik

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom 1440 New York Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 371-7000

Its attorneys

March 25, 1996

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Callalily Norcum, hereby certify that I have served the attached Reply Comments of Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico, Inc. by prepaid mail on this 25th day of March, 1996, to all the parties listed below:

James F. Rogers
Steven H. Schulman
Latham & Watkins
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1300
Washington, D.C. 20004
(Vanguard Cellular Systems, Inc.)

Michael S. Fox Director, Regulatory Affairs John Staurulakis, Inc. 6315 Seabrook Road Seabrook, MD 20706 (Home Telephone Company, Inc.)

Davis M. Wilson, Esq.
Young, Vogl, Harlick,
Wilson & Simpson LLP
425 California Street
Suite 2500
San Francisco, CA 94104
(Allied Personal Communications Industry
Association of California; The Westlink
Company)

Robert S. Foosaner
Senior Vice President - Government Affairs
Nextel Communications, Inc.
800 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1001
Washington, D.C. 20006

Stephen O. Schultz
Glen A. Schmiege
Mark J. Burzych
Foster, Swift, Collins & Smith, P.C.
313 South Washington Square
Lansing, MI 48933
(Michigan Exchange Carriers Association)

David Cosson
L. Marie Guillory
National Telephone Cooperative Association
2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Terrence P. McGarty Chairman and Chief Executive Officer The Telmarc Group, Inc. 24 Woodbine Road Florham Park, NJ 07932

Robert L. Stitt 600 W. 107th Street, Apt. 208 Kansas City, MO 64114-5927

Dwight E. Zimmerman
Illinois Telephone Association
Illinois Independent Telephone Association
R.R. #13, 24B
Oakmont Road
Bloomington, IL 61704

Leonard J. Kennedy
Laura H. Phillips
Peter A. Batacan
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036-6802
(Comcast Corporation)

Werner K. Hartenberger
Laura H. Phillips
Richard S. Denning
Christina H. Burrow
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036-6802
(Cox Enterprises, Inc.)

Barry R. Rubens Senior Vice President Finance & External Affairs Concord Telephone Company 68 Cabarrus Avenue, East P.O. Box 227 Concord, NC 28026-0227

Mickey Sims
General Manager and Chief
Executive Officer
Poka Lambro Telephone Cooperative, Inc.
P.O. Box 1340
Tahoka, Texas 79373-1340

Reginald J. Smith, Chairperson Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control One Central Park Plaza New Britain, CT 06051 Elizabeth R. Sachs, Esq.
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez
1111 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20036
(American Mobile Telecommunications
Association, Inc.)

Thomas Gutierrez Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez 1111 19th Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036 (CMT Partners)

Steven Sivitz
Pacific Communication Sciences, Inc.
9645 Scranton Road
San Diego, CA 92121

Larry A. Blosser Donald J. Elardo MCI Telecommunications Corporation 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Charles C. Hunter
Laura C. Mow
Terry F. Berman
Hunter & Mow, P.C.
1620 I Street, N.W.
Suite 701
Washington, D.C. 20006
(Telecommunications Resellers Association)

Catherine R. Sloan
Richard L. Fruchterman
Richard S. Whitt
Worldcom, Inc.
d/b/a LDDS Worldcom
1120 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036

John F. Tharp
Executive Vice President
The Illinois Telephone Association
P.O. Box 730
300 East Monroe Street
Springfield, IL 62705

Haley, Bader & Potts
Suite 900
4350 North Fairfax Drive
Arlington, VA 22203-1633
(Western Radio Services Co., Inc.)

David L. Hill
Audrey P. Rasmussen
O'Connor & Hannan, L.L.P.
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20006-3483
(Florida Cellular RSA Limited Partnership)

Glenn S. Rabin
Federal Regulatory Counsel
ALLTEL Corporate Services, Inc.
655 15th Street, N.W.
Suite 220
Washington, D.C. 20005
(ALLTEL Corporation)

William D. Baskett III
Thomas E. Taylor
David S. Bence
Frost & Jacobs
2500 PNC Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45201-5715
(Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company)

Leon M. Kestenbaum Jay C. Keithley H. Richard Juhnke Sprint Corporation 1850 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Dwane Glancy Treasurer Smithville Telephone Company 1600 West Temperance Street Ellettsville, IN 47429

Richard S. Myers
Myers Keller Communications Law Group
1030 15th Street, N.W.
Suite 908
Washington, D.C. 20005
(SouthEast Telephone Limited Partnership, Ltd.;
Alaska 3 Cellular Corporation)

Michael J. Shortley, III Frontier Corporation 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646-0700 Michael R. Bennet
Caressa D. Bennet
Bennet & Bennet, PLLC
1831 Ontario Place, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20009
(Cellular Mobile Systems of
St. Cloud General Partnership)

John Hearne Chairman Point Communications Company 100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1000 Santa Monica, CA 90401

Robert A. Hart IV Hart Engineers 4615 North Boulevard Baton Rouge, LA 70806 (Hart Engineers; 21st Century Telesis, Inc.)

Richard P. Thayer President Union Telephone Company 13 Central Street P.O. Box 577 Farmington, NH 03835

Lisa M. Zaina
General Counsel
Organization for the Promotion and
Advancement of Small
Telecommunications Companies
(OPASTCO)
21 Dupont Circle, NW
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036

Charles H. Helein
General Counsel
Helein & Associates, P.C.
8180 Greensboro Drive
Suite 700
McLean, VA 22102
(America's Carriers Telecommunication
Association (ACTA))

Danny E. Adams
Edward A. Yorkgitis, Jr.
Kelley, Drye & Warren
1200 Nineteenth Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036
(Competitive Telecommunications
Association)

Carl W. Northrop Christine M. Crowe Bryan Cave LLP 700 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005-3960 (Arch Communications Group, Inc.)

Cheryl A. Tritt
Stephen J. Kim
Morrison & Foerster, LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 5500
Washington, D.C. 20006
(ICO Global Communications Limited)

David L. Nace Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez 1111 19th Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036 (Alliance of Wireless Services Providers) Kevin C. Gallagher
Sr. Vice President, General Counsel
and Secretary
360° Communications Company
8725 Higgins Road
Chicago, IL 60631

Richard P. Ekstrand President Rural Cellular Corporation P.O. Box 1027 2819 Highway 29 S. Alexandria, MN 56308

Jeanne M. Walsh Kurtis & Associates, P.C. 2000 M Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036 (North Carolina 4 Cellular Limited Partnership)

Howard J. Symons, Sara F. Seidman, Charon J. Harris Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky, & Popeo, P.C. 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20004 (AT&T Corp.)

Judith S. Ledger-Roty
Jonathan E. Canis
Paul G. Madison
Reed Smith Shaw & McClay
1301 K Street, N.W.
Suite 1100 - East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005
(Paging Network, Inc.)

John T. Scott, III Crowell & Moring 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2595 (Bell Atlantic Nynex Mobile, Inc.)

Mark J. Tauber
Mark J. O'Connor
Piper & Marbury, L.L.P.
1200 19th Street, N.W.
Seventh Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036
(Omnipoint Corporation)

David R. Poe
Catherine P. McCarthy
Yvonne M. Coviello
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, L.L.P.
1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20009-5728
(Time Warner Communications Holdings, Inc.)

R. Michael Senkowski
Jeffrey S. Linder
Stephen J. Rosen
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(Personal Communications Industry Association)

Peter Arth, Jr.
Edward W. O'Neill
Mary Mack Adu
Public Utilities Commission of the
State of California
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Frank Michael Panek Ameritech Room 4H84 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Philip L. Verveer, Jennifer A. Donaldson, Michael G. Jones, Thomas Jones Willkie Farr & Gallagher 1155 21st Street, N.W. Suite 600 Three Lafayette Centre Washington, D.C. 20036-3384 (Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association)

David A. Gross
Kathleen Q. Abernathy
AirTouch Communications, Inc.
1818 N Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036

Charles P. Featherstun David G. Richards BellSouth Corporation 1133 21st Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

James G. Pachulski The Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies 1320 North Court House Road Eighth Floor Arlington, VA 22201 Jeffrey S. Bork Robert B. McKenna U.S. WEST, Inc. 1020 19th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

James D. Ellis
Robert M. Lynch
Wayne Watts
David F. Brown
175 E. Houston
Room 1254
San Antonio, TX 78205
(SBC Communications Inc.)

Durward D. Dupre
Mary W. Marks
Darryl Howard
One Bell Center
Room 3558
St. Louis, MO 63101
(Southwestern Bell Telephone Company)

Bruce Beard 17330 Preston Road Suite 100A Dallas, TX 75252 (Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems)

Steven T. Nourse
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Section
180 E. Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215-3793
(Public Utilities Commission of Ohio)

Gail L. Polivy Andre J. Lachance GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Saul Fisher
Donald C. Rowe
Nynex
1111 Westchester Avenue
White Plains, NY 10604

Emily C. Hewitt
Vincent L. Crivella
Michael J. Ettner
Jody B. Burton
Personal Property Division
General Services Administration
Office of General Counsel
18th & F Streets, N.W., Room 4002
Washington, D.C. 20405

Mary McDermott Linda Kent Charles D. Cosson U.S. Telephone Association 1401 H Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005

Jay Bennett
Director, Federal Regulatory Relations
Margaret E. Garber
Pacific Telesis Group - Washington
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20004
(Pacific Bell, Pacific Bell Mobile
Services, Nevada Bell)

J. Manning Lee Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Two Teleport Drive, Suite 300 Staten Island, NY 10311 (Teleport Communications Group Inc.)

Jay L. Birnbaum Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom 1440 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (New Par)

Joe D. Edge
Mark F. Dever
Drinker Biddle & Reath
901 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(Puerto Rico Telephone Company)

Susan W. Smith Director, External Affairs Century Cellunet, Inc. 3050 Summerhill, #4 Texarkana, TX 75501

Jonathan D. Blake, Kurt A. Wimmer, Gerard J. Waldron, John F. Duffy, Donna M. Epps Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20044 (Sprint Spectrum and American Personal Communications -- Joint) Jeffrey S. Linder
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(American Personal Communications)

Richard A. Askoff National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc. 100 South Jefferson Road Whippany, NJ 07981

Gene DeJordy, Esq. Christopher Johnson Western Wireless Corporation 330 120th Ave., N.E., Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Lewis J. Paper
David B. Jeppsen
Dickstein, Shapiro & Morin, L.L.P.
2101 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-1526
(Cellular Resellers Association, Inc.)

Frederick M. Joyce Amy Brett Joyce & Jacobs 1019 19th Street, N.W. 14th Floor, PH-2 Washington, D.C. 20036 (Celpage Inc.)

James A. Crary Senior Attorney Anchorage Telephone Utility 600 Telephone Avenue Anchorage, AK 99503 James Rowe Executive Director Alaska Telephone Association 4341 B Street, Suite 304 Anchorage, AK 99503

Maureen O. Helmer General Counsel New York State Department of Public Service Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223-1350

Richard D. Adams President Rural Cellular Association 2120 L Street, N.W. Suite 520 Washington, D.C. 20037

Richard Rubin
Steven N. Teplitz
Fleischman and Walsh, L.L.P.
1400 16th Street, Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036
(Centennial Cellular Corp.)

Paul Rodgers
General Counsel
National Association of Regulatory
Utility Commissioners
1102 ICC Building
P.O. Box 684
Washington, D.C. 20044

Douglas J. Wagner President GVNW Inc./Management 2270 Montana Way Colorado Springs, CO 80918